

St. Louis School : Plan on Use of Capacity Enhancement Grant (CEG) in 2010/11 School Year

Means by which teachers have been consulted: Open invitation and staff meetings

No. of operating classes: 28

CEG received (2010/11): 471,771.00

No.	Program Title	Task Area#	Success Criteria	Method(s) of Evaluation	I/C	Evaluation			
1	IT Assistant	A & D	More than 75% of the IT services listed in the Annual Plan can be provided.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance appraisal on the IT assistants - Teachers' feedback; 	TYS	<p align="center">Evaluation Report for the Use of Capacity Enhancement Grant (CEG) 2010-2011</p> <p align="center">Program: IT Assistant (Employment)</p> <p>Major area(s) of concern: To provide a comprehensive technical support to students, teachers and administrators on all aspects relating to IT usage and facilities</p> <p>A. Budget and expenditure Budget: HKD132,300 Expenditure: HKD 126,436.93 (to be given by Mr. Ko) Surplus/(Deficit) = 5, 863.07 (to be computed after the data is given by Mr. Ko)</p> <p>B. Teachers' Survey Report (Number of stakeholders is 57)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The average percentage of IT usage in teaching</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The satisfaction index (0 to 5) of the technical support provided by IT technicians</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of IT equipment in</td> </tr> </table>	The average percentage of IT usage in teaching	The satisfaction index (0 to 5) of the technical support provided by IT technicians	The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of IT equipment in
The average percentage of IT usage in teaching									
The satisfaction index (0 to 5) of the technical support provided by IT technicians									
The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of IT equipment in									

classroom including computer and projector
The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of school servers for file management (N:/M:/T: drives), email handling (eClass) and school web site

C. Staff Survey Report (Number of stakeholders is 16)

The satisfaction index (0 to 5) of the technical support provided by IT technicians
The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of IT equipment in their working place
The satisfaction index (0 to 5) on the performance of school servers for file management (N:/M:/T: drives), email handling (eClass) and school web site, WebSAMS and others

D. Program Title

Program Action Plan	Completed
1. Replacement of old computers in staff computer room;	✓

2. Replacement and purchasing projection systems in special rooms	✓	
3. Samshost server replacement;	✓	
4. Network infrastructure enhancement and installation of sound system in MMLL	✓	
5. Tendering of broadband connection contract	✓	
6. Purchasing of photography equipment and accessories for school	✓	
7. Purchasing photocopier for staff computer room (Added Action Plan)	✓	
8. Purchasing projection systems for Hall (Added Action Plan)	✓	

E. Availability of services listed in the CEG strategies/tasks:

						<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WebSAMS 2. eClass 3. School web site 4. Printing service (SafeQ system) by Minolta 5. Web-based learning services (eClass English, Putonghua and typing pra 6. Storage service (N:/M:/T:/S: network drives) 7. ECA service (Swimming and Sports Day System with web-based event registration and network marks processing system) 8. Resource & Booking service (eClass) 9. Announcement service (eClass and school web site) 10. eTV or other TV recording services 11. MMLC Opening during Lunch Hours 12. Management of Servers Rooms, MMLC, MMLL and CAL Rooms <p>F. IT technician annual appraisal</p> <p>The appraisals were completed by both IT technician and IT team chairperson. The grade given to the IT technician in the appraisal by IT Team chairperson was highly ranked. The document could be provided on request.</p> <p>Prepared by Tong Yu Sun (for IT Team chairman V.P. Lo Chi Wing) September 2011</p>
2	Religious Education Master	A, D & E	75% of the teachers concerned (panel/team members of Religious Ed. Panel, Religious	Survey	NDL	Over 85% of the teachers concerned agreed that their workload on religious activities and RE teaching was relieved and the overall behavior of students was improved.

			Activities Team and Pastoral Care Team) agree that their workload is relieved and the overall behaviour of students is improved.			
3	Oral Training Course in Putonghua (S1-3)	B & C	Participants achieve rewarding results in Speech Festival	Results & Feedbacks from adjudicators of Speech Festival.	YLL	1.十一位參加此活動的學生中，有九位獲得優良獎狀，並有一位獲得亞軍，可見活動具有一定成效。 2.參賽同學對以普通話為溝通語言的意識和能力有所提高，這已從同學在課堂上的積極表現及口試成績反映出來。
4	Oral Examination helpers	D	Smooth running of the oral exams.	Evaluation by the panel and the examiners.	CWW	
5	SGT Training Camp	C	75% of the participants find the activity useful.	Questionnaire	YYP	Yung Yat Pui and Jessie Tse (social worker) led students to join the SGT Training camp and all the programmes were run smoothly. Moreover all the CEG received were used completely as the plan of the budget.
6	Chinese Music Orchestra Subsidy Scheme	E	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Steady increase in students' enrolment; Attendance rate of participants reaches 75% or above; Satisfactory number of open performances 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appraisal on the instructors / conductors; Attendance record; Performance in open contests and open events 	LCYJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2010-2011年度，中樂團第一期共有63名學員，第二期共有46名學員。 中樂團本年聘請了3位導師(許紹文先生、李耀樟先生和陳志傑先生)，共開辦了4個訓練班，分別為二胡初班及高班、笛子初班及高班、彈撥樂(中阮、琵琶、古箏)和敲擊樂。學員的平均出席率約70%，缺席多由於與其他活動撞期，或在暑假期間外遊。 中樂團本年參與了校內3次演出，分別為8月的中一新生迎接日、11月的畢業典禮和3月的感恩節晚會。此外，中樂團在本年1月16日也參加了1次校外演出，活動由中西區區議會主辦，於西區副食物批發市場演奏兩曲，共有二十多位學員參與演出。大部分同學在綵排練習的出席率也有70%以上。 <p>中樂團本年8月出版了一本團刊，會派發給所有學員，並在中一新生迎接日派發予各新生。</p>
7	Leadership Training Camp	C&E	No. of participants>48	Evaluation (discussion) would be made by the end of the program.	CWK / WCK	48 Students participated in this program. The final day of the camp is a sharing/evaluation session. Participants gave very positive feedbacks to this activity. A problem faced when organizing this activity is that the cost of residence, catering and transportation hugely increased. It made the financial burden quite heavy.
8	Junior Training	C&E	No. of participants>48	Evaluation (discussion) would be made by the	CWK /	80 Students participated in this program. The final day of the camp is a sharing/evaluation session. Participants gave very positive

	Program			end of the program.)	WCK	feedbacks to this activity.																																																																																																																																					
9	NSS- Science (Phy,Chem,Bi o) Remedial Program (S4)	C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 50% of participants attain an attendance of 50%; • Their participation in class and learning attitude is considered as good by the tutors; • The participants consider the course useful. 	<p>1. Observe the students' attendance, participation in class and learning attitude;</p> <p>2. Tutors and students involved will be asked to comment on the following aspects of the course:</p> <p>(i)content, (ii)approaches, (iii)time, (iv)arrangement, and (v)others.</p> <p>Scrutinizing documents: attendance record, questionnaires</p>	CYW	<p>Responses and options collected from the questionnaires :</p> <p>Physics</p> <p>Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S4 NSS- Physics Remedial Class 2010-11</p> <p>Number of respondents: 23</p> <p>Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Course Evaluation</i></th> <th>Strongly disagree</th> <th>Disagree</th> <th>Neutral</th> <th>Agree</th> <th>Strongly Agree</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>The course was well organized</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="7">a. Please write down your suggestion(s): Just useless</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="7">I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 1 hr/lesson</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>The program helped me to learn the subject well</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>This tutorial program should be offered in the future</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>The workload in this course was</td> <td>Excessive</td> <td>High</td> <td>Average</td> <td>Light</td> <td>Insufficient</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Instructor Evaluation</i></th> <th>Strongly disagree</th> <th>Disagree</th> <th>Neutral</th> <th>Agree</th> <th>Strongly Agree</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>The instructor was well prepared</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>The instructor presented the course material clearly</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>The instructor stimulated my interest in the course</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>The instructor was helpful and responsive to students</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>The learning atmosphere was good</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>The classroom management was good</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other Comments:</p> <p>1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)? Yes: 13 / No: 8</p> <p>2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?</p> <p>Topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Force (2 students) • Wave • Ejaculation • Moment <p>Other response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This course is useless, and the time of this course make the students lose their reading time • Liberal Studies (3 students) • Maths • Not mind <p>3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wave, Force • Time of the Course • The time management - late and too long (Feel tired after school to learn more 1.5 hours) 	<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5	2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5	3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5	a. Please write down your suggestion(s): Just useless							4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5	I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 1 hr/lesson							5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5	6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5	7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient	<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5	2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5	3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5	4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5	5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5	6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5	7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5	8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree																																																																																																																																					
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): Just useless																																																																																																																																											
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 1 hr/lesson																																																																																																																																											
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient																																																																																																																																					
<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree																																																																																																																																					
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																					

						Chemistry
--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S4 NSS- Chemistry Remedial Class 2010-11

Number of respondent: 30

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale

Course Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercise • non-useful, sorry • Very good 						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held <input type="text" value="1"/> time a week and <input type="text" value="1"/> hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
Instructor Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)? Yes: **21** / No: **8**

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topics:

- Mole (2 students)
- Metals (2 students)
- Bonding (2 students)
- IUPAC system of naming
- Structure

Other response:

- I don't think this course can help us, useless, no need to hold this course, it is wasting money
- I hope the pure science subject can also held a course, or Liberal Studies
- LS, this subject is a new subject for me, so I hope my school can hold some classes of LS
- Liberal Studies (5 students)
- Maths (2 students)
- ICT

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- I feel the class is good
- The time management - late and too long (Feel tired after school to learn more 1.5 hours)
- Don't allow on after school, I want it on Saturday
- The time of this remedial class
- Learning atmosphere (2 students)
- The workload should increase
- Only 1 course can be choosed
- Stop holding it.
- mole, structure

Biology

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S4 NSS- Biology Remedial Class 2010-11

Number of respondent: 17

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

Course Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
Please write down your suggestion(s): Very good						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 1 hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
Instructor Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)?

Yes: **10** / No: **5**

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topic:

The heart

Other response:

LS (2 students)

Biology (2 students)

Not mind

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- Time of the course
- Decrease Time
- Time
- The workload should increase

10	NSS- Science (Phy, Chem, Bio) Remedial Program (S5)	C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 50% of participants attain an attendance of 50%; • Their participation in class and learning attitude is considered as good by the tutors; • The participants consider the 	<p>1. Observe the students' attendance, participation in class and learning attitude;</p> <p>2. Tutors and students involved will be</p>	CYW	<p>Responses and options collected from the questionnaires :</p> <p>Physics (Remedial)</p>
----	---	---	--	--	-----	---

course useful.

asked to comment on the following aspects of the course:
 (i)content,
 (ii)approaches,
 (iii)time,
 (iv)arrangement, and
 (v)others.Scrutinizing documents:
 attendance record,
 questionnaires

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S5 NSS- Physics Remedial Class 2010-11

Number of respondents: 20

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

Course Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): • The progress is a bit slow • talk more about trap of public exam						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held 2 times a week and 1 hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
Instructor Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)?

Yes: **13** / No: **7**

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topics

- Electricity (4 students)
- Heat (2 students)
- Wave
- Lens
- Force
- Mechanics

Other response:

- LS
- Phy

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- Students have no incentive after several hours school lesson
- Number of times of the course
- Was at an appropriate level of difficulty
- Time management

Chemistry (Remedial)

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S5 NSS- Chemistry Remedial Class 2010-11

Number of respondents: 13

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): More notes are better						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 2 hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)?

Yes: **10** / No: **3**

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topic:

- Every Topic
- Organic Chem
- Hydro Carbon
- Acid and alkalines
- Polymer

Other response:

- LS
- Chemistry

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- Time, Notes (More), Data notes better than exercise
- Time management
- A beautiful lady can be the teacher of this course!

Chemistry(Tutorial – 2nd semester)

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S5 NSS- Chemistry Tutorial Class 2010-11

Number of respondents: 15

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

Course Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is good enough • More exercise is needed • Too easy, notes/handouts similar to lesson 						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held <input type="text" value="2"/> times a week and <input type="text" value="1"/> hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
Instructor Evaluation		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)?

Yes: 9 / No: 4

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topics:

- Polymer (2 students)
- Reproduction
- IDK
- Ester
- Volumetric Analysis
- Fossil fuels
- Carbon compounds
- All about the examination

Other response:

- Phy, Chem, Bio, Maths, LS (2 students)

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- number of times of the course
- The notes/Learning material
- 我認為可以美女老師替代現有的男老師!

Biology (Remedial)

Number of respondents: 6

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): can have more types of question in the notes						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held 1 time a week and 1 hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year (2011-12)?

Yes: 4 / No: 2

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topic:

- Ecosystem

Other response:

- Chemistry
- Biology

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- Examination skill
- Have more notes provided

Biology(Tutorial – 2nd semester)

Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the S5 NSS- Biology Tutorial Class 2010-11

Number of respondents: 15

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.

<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The course was well organized	1	2	3	4	5
2	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
3	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful	1	2	3	4	5
a. Please write down your suggestion(s): Explain the concept in other ways						
4	I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course	1	2	3	4	5
I would like the course to be held <input type="text" value="1"/> time a week and <input type="text" value="2"/> hr/lesson						
5	The program helped me to learn the subject well	1	2	3	4	5
6	This tutorial program should be offered in the future	1	2	3	4	5
7	The workload in this course was	Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient
<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	1	2	3	4	5
2	The instructor was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5
3	The instructor presented the course material clearly	1	2	3	4	5
4	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course	1	2	3	4	5
5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students	1	2	3	4	5
6	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course	1	2	3	4	5
7	The learning atmosphere was good	1	2	3	4	5
8	The classroom management was good	1	2	3	4	5

Other Comments:

1. Do you want to join similar program in the coming year(2011-12)?

Yes: 13 / No: 2

2. Which topic(s) do you want to explore further in the tutorial course?

Topics:

- Ecosystem (2 students)
- Transpiration
- Heart

Other response:

- Phy
- Bio (3 students)
- Chem (2 students)

3. What aspects of this course need to be improved?

- Increase workload
- The classroom management
- Examination skills
- Skill of answer question

11	親炙作家講座		同學踴躍投入	讀書報告	MPY	由毛孟靜女士主講「如何閱讀傳媒」，中六乙班及全體中五同學出席，氣氛熱烈，同學積極投入。
12	OLE-Community Service	A,C &E	90% of students can complete the program successfully	Meetings would be hold with social workers from Caritas	CWK / WCK	Only 16 students (out of 187) cannot complete this program before summer holiday. Students were requested to write down reflections about their services and most of them gave positive feedbacks.

	program, with Caritas																																															
13	Prefects' Association Training	E	75% of the participants find the activity useful	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Observations from teacher advisors. - Feedback from the participants 	FSM	<p>香港基督教女青年會 西環綜合社會服務處 聖類斯中學 活動檢討問卷</p> <p>活動名稱: 聖類斯中學領袖訓練營 日期: 2011年01月28日至30日</p> <p>第一部份</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>十分不滿意</th> <th>不滿意</th> <th>滿意</th> <th>十分滿意</th> <th>作答總人數</th> <th>表示滿意及十分滿意的參加者人數百分比</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>你對活動時間安排之滿意程度是</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>22</td> <td>20</td> <td>43</td> <td>97.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>你對活動形式及內容之滿意程度是</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>35</td> <td>43</td> <td>100.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>你對提供服務的職員整體表現之滿意程度是</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>37</td> <td>43</td> <td>100.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>你對提供服務的環境及設施整體之滿意程度是</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>34</td> <td>43</td> <td>97.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>你對是次服務的整體滿意程度是</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>36</td> <td>43</td> <td>100.0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>第二部份</p>		十分不滿意	不滿意	滿意	十分滿意	作答總人數	表示滿意及十分滿意的參加者人數百分比	你對活動時間安排之滿意程度是	0	1	22	20	43	97.7%	你對活動形式及內容之滿意程度是	0	0	8	35	43	100.0%	你對提供服務的職員整體表現之滿意程度是	0	0	6	37	43	100.0%	你對提供服務的環境及設施整體之滿意程度是	0	1	8	34	43	97.7%	你對是次服務的整體滿意程度是	0	0	7	36	43	100.0%
	十分不滿意	不滿意	滿意	十分滿意	作答總人數	表示滿意及十分滿意的參加者人數百分比																																										
你對活動時間安排之滿意程度是	0	1	22	20	43	97.7%																																										
你對活動形式及內容之滿意程度是	0	0	8	35	43	100.0%																																										
你對提供服務的職員整體表現之滿意程度是	0	0	6	37	43	100.0%																																										
你對提供服務的環境及設施整體之滿意程度是	0	1	8	34	43	97.7%																																										
你對是次服務的整體滿意程度是	0	0	7	36	43	100.0%																																										

	十分不同意	不同意	同意	十分同意	表示同意及十分同意的 作答總人數 參加者人數 百分比	
你認為活動能夠增加個人自信心	0	1	16	26	43	97.7%
你認為活動能夠增進你與同學的溝通和合作	0	1	5	37	43	97.7%
你認為活動能夠讓你發揮解難技巧	0	0	17	26	43	100.0%
你認為活動能夠讓你 知道作為領袖應有的 條件及態度	0	1	12	30	43	97.7%

活動中，你最喜歡的環節是什麼？

行山澗 x 18	晚會 x14
high touch x3	amazing race x4
黑夜追蹤 x4	取 fun 任務
核武危機	反思時間
步操環節	全所有都很好 x3
登陸荒島	

你認為最需要改善的地方是什麼？

時間不充裕	定時增加自動販賣機小食
amazing race 需要更多時間	體能訓練

						<table border="1"> <tr> <td>不要掌上壓 x 2</td> <td>膳食份量</td> </tr> <tr> <td>吃飯的時限 x 3</td> <td>自由活動時間 x 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>小賣部沒有人</td> <td>需要更多檢討時間</td> </tr> <tr> <td>時間安排 x 2</td> <td>增添休息時間</td> </tr> <tr> <td>活動時間</td> <td>宿營時間長些 x 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>膳食服務</td> <td>房間要大些</td> </tr> </table> <p>其他意見</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>完美</td> <td>加設繩網陣</td> </tr> <tr> <td>加油</td> <td>十分好</td> </tr> <tr> <td>想玩耐 d</td> <td>環節時間安排可作改善</td> </tr> <tr> <td>是次活動經過周密安排，效果甚佳</td> <td>整體上十分好，能夠凝聚同學的團體性，晚會後的跳舞環節十分棒</td> </tr> <tr> <td>晚會環節十分感動 (哭了)</td> <td>導師友善</td> </tr> <tr> <td>期待更多有趣活動</td> <td>火山哥好正</td> </tr> <tr> <td>多一些團體活動 (全隊團隊)</td> <td>導師 100 分友善</td> </tr> <tr> <td>加長營期 (七天六夜)</td> <td>火山 sir 好好人</td> </tr> </table>	不要掌上壓 x 2	膳食份量	吃飯的時限 x 3	自由活動時間 x 3	小賣部沒有人	需要更多檢討時間	時間安排 x 2	增添休息時間	活動時間	宿營時間長些 x 2	膳食服務	房間要大些	完美	加設繩網陣	加油	十分好	想玩耐 d	環節時間安排可作改善	是次活動經過周密安排，效果甚佳	整體上十分好，能夠凝聚同學的團體性，晚會後的跳舞環節十分棒	晚會環節十分感動 (哭了)	導師友善	期待更多有趣活動	火山哥好正	多一些團體活動 (全隊團隊)	導師 100 分友善	加長營期 (七天六夜)	火山 sir 好好人
不要掌上壓 x 2	膳食份量																																	
吃飯的時限 x 3	自由活動時間 x 3																																	
小賣部沒有人	需要更多檢討時間																																	
時間安排 x 2	增添休息時間																																	
活動時間	宿營時間長些 x 2																																	
膳食服務	房間要大些																																	
完美	加設繩網陣																																	
加油	十分好																																	
想玩耐 d	環節時間安排可作改善																																	
是次活動經過周密安排，效果甚佳	整體上十分好，能夠凝聚同學的團體性，晚會後的跳舞環節十分棒																																	
晚會環節十分感動 (哭了)	導師友善																																	
期待更多有趣活動	火山哥好正																																	
多一些團體活動 (全隊團隊)	導師 100 分友善																																	
加長營期 (七天六夜)	火山 sir 好好人																																	
14 +	S3 leadership training course	C&E +	Over 90% of attendance	Meetings would be hold after the program with the tutors.	CWK /LTW	<p>The average number of attendance is only about 20(max. 30).</p> <p>Few participants suggested that the course is too informative.</p> <p>The course may be made compulsory to all chairmen designate in the coming year.</p>																												
15 +	Sandip Burman Master Class	C&E	Musically trained students will have an opportunity to enhance their music sense and technique after this master class.	Survey	CCK	<p>About 35 students attended the performance. The guest, Sandip Burman, performed the Indian percussions most of the time including the tabla and sitars. He also requested a number of students to try and play the Indian percussions during the class. Students generally enjoyed the performance very much. It was a valuable experience for them to see and play the Indian percussions instruments as well as seeing the sensational demonstration</p>																												

16 +	S5 Chemistry Consolidation Course	C	1. More than 85 % participants attend the course punctually. 2. More than 85% participants rate 3 or above (out of 5) in different items in Course Evaluation Questionnaires.	1. Record students' attendance. 2. Course Evaluation Questionnaires collected the participants' responses.	LCY/ LHF	<p>from a world-class master.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The attendance of students in these 4 lessons was ranged from 61% to 79%. Only the attendance of the 3rd lesson was unexpectedly low (61 %) as clash with another school event without prior notice. Responses and options collected from the questionnaires : <p style="text-align: center;">St. Louis School</p> <p>Course Evaluation Questionnaire for the NSS-Science (S5 Chem) Consolidation Class 2010-11 number of respondents: 17</p> <p>Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements by circling a number on the scale.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1189 355 2114 983"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Course Evaluation</i></th> <th>Strongly disagree</th> <th>Disagree</th> <th>Neutral</th> <th>Agree</th> <th>Strongly Agree</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>The course was well organized</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="7">Please write down your suggestion(s):</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="7">I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 2 hr/lesson</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The program helps me to learn the subject well</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>This remedial program should be offered in the future</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The workload in this course was</td> <td></td> <td>Excessive</td> <td>High</td> <td>Average</td> <td>Light</td> <td>Insufficient</td> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Instructor Evaluation</i></th> <th>Strongly disagree</th> <th>Disagree</th> <th>Neutral</th> <th>Agree</th> <th>Strongly Agree</th> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="7">TCH & HSH)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The instructor was well prepared</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The instructor presented course material clearly</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The instructor stimulated my interest in the course</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The instructor was helpful and responsive to students</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The learning atmosphere was good</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The classroom management was good</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	The course was well organized		1	2	3	4	5	The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty		1	2	3	4	5	I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful		1	2	3	4	5	Please write down your suggestion(s):							I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course		1	2	3	4	5	I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 2 hr/lesson							The program helps me to learn the subject well		1	2	3	4	5	This remedial program should be offered in the future		1	2	3	4	5	The workload in this course was		Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient	<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	TCH & HSH)							The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area		1	2	3	4	5	The instructor was well prepared		1	2	3	4	5	The instructor presented course material clearly		1	2	3	4	5	The instructor stimulated my interest in the course		1	2	3	4	5	The instructor was helpful and responsive to students		1	2	3	4	5	I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course		1	2	3	4	5	The learning atmosphere was good		1	2	3	4	5	The classroom management was good		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Course Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree																																																																																																																																												
The course was well organized		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The course was at an appropriate level of difficulty		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
I find the learning materials (e.g. notes, handouts) for this course useful		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
Please write down your suggestion(s):																																																																																																																																																		
I am satisfied with the time allotted to the course		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
I would like the course to be held 1 times a week and 2 hr/lesson																																																																																																																																																		
The program helps me to learn the subject well		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
This remedial program should be offered in the future		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The workload in this course was		Excessive	High	Average	Light	Insufficient																																																																																																																																												
<i>Instructor Evaluation</i>		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree																																																																																																																																												
TCH & HSH)																																																																																																																																																		
The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The instructor was well prepared		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The instructor presented course material clearly		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The instructor stimulated my interest in the course		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The instructor was helpful and responsive to students		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
I got adequate support where required on issues related to the course		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The learning atmosphere was good		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
The classroom management was good		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																																																																												
17 +	Life Wide Learning Day	E	Over 90% of students take part in the programme	1.Evaluation meeting 2. Over 90% of students participating in the programme	CYW	1. Evaluation Meeting																																																																																																																																												

					<p>I. Life Wide Learning Day</p> <p><i>Suggestion:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To establish a precise plan as early as possible Instruction to teachers should be strengthened <p>S1: Church Visit</p> <p>S2: Hiking and BBQ</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Teachers would like to have a more detailed <u>programme</u> rundown <i>Suggestion:</i> Teachers in-charged will be responsible for designing activities for the whole form <p>S3: Straw Tower Building Contest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Duration was too long <i>Suggestion:</i> Increasing the content and sessions of the activity <p>S4:</p> <p>Golf</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Students are giving positive response, however, its cost is relatively high <p>Bowling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Some students were not participative at the later period of the activity <p>Ice-Skating</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Suggestion:</i> To book the lockers in advance <p>Hockey</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Students enjoyed the sport <p>- Activities to be held: Ice-skating and hockey</p> <p>- Further consideration needed: Golf and bowling</p> <p>S5: Visit to the Museum of Art</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Feedback:</i> Some students found the exhibition unattractive <p>S6: Helper</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Suggestion:</i> Matching the needs of activities with the skills of helpers <i>Issues to be considered:</i> Excess labor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There will be five S6 classes in 2011/12, but only 60 helpers is needed Arrangement of helpers/non-helpers should be decided <p>Suggestions of activities: S4: War game and archery, Other Form: A visit to Ocean Park</p> <p>2. The attendance rate was over 90%.</p>	
18	Football Coach	E	Achieving satisfactory results in inter-school football competitions organized by HKSSF.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance; Results of inter-school football competition. 	CBL	The general performance of the coach is acceptable. However, since the results of the competitions were not satisfactory. The contract with the coach thus will not be extended.
19	Basketball Coach	E	Achieving satisfactory results in inter-school basketball competitions organized by HKSSF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance; Results of inter-school basketball competition. 	LSP	The general performance of the coach is acceptable. However, since the results of the competitions were not satisfactory. The contract with the coach thus will not be extended.

Legend:

A: curriculum development; B: enhancing students' language proficiency; C: coping with the diverse and special learning needs of students; D: school administration; E: school activity.

+ New program

Expected CEG available this year (10-11): CEG to be received: 471,771.00

Forecast deficit this year to be deployed from OEBG = - 27695.7

** Actual amount subject to annual adjustment with Composite Consumer Price Index (CCPI)

CYW

01/12/2010